UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 92CR1144

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PAULINO COTA-VALDEZ (1),

Defendant.

JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL

IT APPEARING that the defendant is now entitled to be discharged for the reason that:

____ an indictment has been filed in another case against the defendant and

- the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal of this case, without prejudice; or
- ____ the Court has dismissed the case for unnecessary delay; or
- X the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal without prejudice; or
- the Court has granted the motion of the defendant for a judgment of acquittal; or
- ___ a jury has been waived, and the Court has found the defendant not quilty; or
- the jury has returned its verdict, finding the defendant not guilty;
- X of the offense as charged in the one count Indictment: 18 USC 3146

 IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby discharged.

DATED: 8 15 1

MARILYN L. HUFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

	Case 3:92-cr-01144-H Document 3 Filed 08/12/11 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Criminal Case No.92cr1144-H
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF INDICTMENT
13) AND WITHDRAWAL OF WARRANT
14	PAULINO COTA-VALDEZ,)
15	Defendant.)
16	Upon application of the United States Attorney, and good cause appearing therefrom,
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the indictment in the above-captioned case against defendant
18	PAULINO COTA-VALDEZ, be dismissed without prejudice; and,
19	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the warrant for PAULINO COTA-VALDEZ be dismissed
20	without prejudice.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Dated: August 11, 2011
23	11 Much L. Huff
24	MARILYN LYHUFF, District Judge) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
25	
26	
27	
28	